

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/734,694	12/12/2003	Carl E. Bohnenkamp	YOR920030531US1 (590.123)	1196		
35195 75	90 10/28/2005	EXAMINER				
FERENCE & ASSOCIATES 409 BROAD STREET PITTSBURGH, PA 15143			VAZQUEZ,	VAZQUEZ, ARLEEN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2829			

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	o.	Applicant(s)	- Ar	
Office Action Summary		10/734,694		BOHNENKAMP ET A	ı	
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Arleen M. Vazo	luez	2829		
	The MAILING DATE of this communicate		<u> </u>	correspondence addre	ess -	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho ation. ys, a reply within the statutory ry period will apply and will expire the application by statute. cause the application.	nwever, may a reply be to ninimum of thirty (30) date SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this comm IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.	
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>12/12/2003</u> .				
• —	,	☑ This action is non-f				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice u	ınder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>	, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,11-15,22 and 23 is/are rejected is/are objected to. Claim(s) 5-10,16-21 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from considected.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 December 20</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	$\frac{2003}{1000}$ is/are: a) $\boxed{\times}$ acception to the drawing(s) be here correction is required if	eld in abeyance. S the drawing(s) is o	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority doc Certified copies of the priority doc Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been re cuments have been re he priority documents Bureau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Applica have been recei 7.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National St	age	
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo er No(s)/Mail Date	=\	Paper No(s)/Mail Notice of Informa		52)	

Application/Control Number: 10/734,694

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 8-10,19-21 objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 8-10,19-21 it's not clear what is meant by "at least one additional main body". Is "additional main body" shown in any drawings?

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3,11-14,22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by *Homma (US 5,584,395)*.

As to claims 1,3,12 and 14, *Homma* discloses in Fig. 2 testing of computer chips, comprising a main body (11) adapted to hold a plurality of components and an arrangement for automatically altering a configuration is made by the combination of a plurality of return spring (11h) and the solenoid-operated actuator units (11g), of said main body to move one of the components into a position formerly occupied by another of the components. It appears that the components of *Homma*, are disposed at different locations along a circumferential dimension of said main body.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/734,694

Art Unit: 2829

As to claims 2 and 13, *Homma* discloses in Fig. 2 and 3 a main body comprising a rotatable disk (11b) and an arrangement (the combination of shaft member (11k) and electric motor unit (11m)) for rotating the main body.

As to claims 11 and 22, *Homma* discloses in Fig. 3 an index arrangement (110), to ensure precise positioning of the components.

As to claim 23, *Homma* discloses in Fig. 3 a program storage device (11q) readable by machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform the method steps mentioned previously by the main body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Homma (US 5,584,395)* in view of *Jongen et al. (US 6,433,336).*

As to claim 4 and 15, *Homma* discloses in Figs. 2-4 all limitations except for components comprising beam degraders. However, *Jongen et al.* discloses in Figs. 1a and 1b a beam degrader.

Art Unit: 2829

It would been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide as part of the components a beam degrader or any other component, since the type of the component is not given any patentable weight.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-7, 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claims 5 and 16, the prior art does not teach or suggest a method or apparatus having a main body with a mounting media for mounting the beam degraders with respect to said main body in a manner permitting selective detachment of the beam degraders from said main body.

As to claims 6 and 17, the prior art does not teach or suggest a method or apparatus having at least one said mounting media adapted to accept a component other than a beam degrader.

As to claims 7 and 18, the prior art does not teach or suggest a method or apparatus having at least one said mounting media adapted to accept at least one of: a Faraday cup, a beam position monitor, and a beam intensity uniformity monitor.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,694 Page 5

Art Unit: 2829

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tsuchiya (US 6,621,261) discloses a work inspection apparatus.

Cheng et al. (US 2005/0134256) discloses a system for processing electronic devices.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arleen M. Vazquez whose telephone number is 571-272-2619. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 571-272-2034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMV

VINH NGUYEN' PRIMARY EXAMINER

A. 4. 2829